UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,272	03/26/2007	Bruce Alastair Pirrie	089244-000100US	1987
TED SABETY, c/o Sabety +associates, PLLC 1130 Bedford Rd. PLEASANTVILLE, NY 10570			EXAMINER	
			JONAITIS, JUSTIN M	
FLEASANTVILLE, NT 10370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

TED@SABETY.NET lkorotkin@sabety.net

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	10/552,272	PIRRIE, BRUCE ALASTAIR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUSTIN JONAITIS	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fee 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,12 and 24-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the october 2005. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,272 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/17/2011 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 25 (the second one) will be examined as if it's claim 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 12, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent #4,488,045 to Anazawa et al.

Anazawa discloses an electrostatic spraying device comprising a capillary spray electrode having a spraying end (capillary (23)) and a reference electrode (electrode (25), the electrodes being connected in use across a voltage source (extracting voltage supply (32)) in order to establish an electric field between the electrodes that causes fluid in the capillary to be sprayed from the capillary electrode, wherein the spray electrode spraying end is rounded (see Figure 7) and is provided with a focus (tip of needle (24)) that defines a point at which the electric field is focused on the spraying end, wherein the focus is provided by a rod (the needle is a rod) adjacent the spray electrode (adjacent the walls of the spray electrode) and extending beyond a front surface (as a projection) of the spraying end in a direction parallel to the longitudinal axis of the spray electrode, the end of the rod being rounded with a radius of curvature less than that of the spray electrode.

Anazawa further discloses the electrostatic spray device comprising a reservoir (reservoir (19)) in fluid communication with the spray electrode, and the rod/projection is located external to the spray electrode capillary (at least a portion of the rod/projection extends past the front surface of the capillary spray electrode and thus is located external to the spray electrode capillary).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent #4,488,045 to Anazawa et al.

Anazawa discloses the apparatus as described above but fails to specifically disclose the radius of curvatures of the spray electrode.

However, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the appropriate dimensions of components such that the sprayer has a desired radius of curvature, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Further one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to select the appropriate dimensions of the components based on the intended application in which the apparatus is to be used. Further varying the dimension would alter the material flow rates as well as the amount of fluid that can be stored by the apparatus, and thus finding the optimum dimensions for the apparatus based on it's intended use would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 12, 24-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUSTIN JONAITIS whose telephone number is (571)270-5150. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thurs 6:30am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,272 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JUSTIN JONAITIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 8-3-2011

/Dinh Q Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752